

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/912,561	07/26/2001	Toshiharu Katsuki	Q65527	5480	
75	690 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, N	MION, ZINN, MACPEA	AK & SEAS, PLLC	JUSKA, CHERYL ANN		
2100 Pennsylva	mia Avenue				
Washington, D	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			1771		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- U -
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/912,561	KATSUKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl Juska	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	\$
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicativ - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 May 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 6,7 and 19-24 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6,7 and 19-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as a subject to Papers	chdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection t	= , ,		4047-15
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)	»□	(DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed May 20, 2004, has been entered. Claims 1-5 and 8-18 are cancelled, while claims 6 and 7 have been amended as requested. New claims 21-24 have been added. Thus, the pending claims are 6, 7, and 19-24.
- 3. Said amendment is sufficient to withdraw the 112, 2nd rejection of claims 6 and 7 as set forth in sections 4 and 5 of the last Office Action. The cancellation of claim 17 renders moot the 112, 1st rejection set forth in section 7 of the last Office Action.
- 4. The Advisory Action of May 4, 2004, noted that applicant's arguments were sufficient to overcome the anticipation rejection by Nakao (US 5,683,784). However, the examiner believes the 102 rejection should be maintained, as set forth below, due to further review of the Nakao reference and the present claims.

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Said claims limit the non-synthetic fiber to being a natural fiber or a regenerated fiber. Since, in the textile art, there are only two broad classes of fibers—natural and synthetic fibers, the term "non-synthetic" is equivalent to "natural." Hence, if one accepts the common terminology in the art, claims 21 and 22 are not further limiting. Thus, the claims are indefinite because it is unclear what applicant intends to encompass. Additionally, in the textile art, regenerated fibers, although *made from* natural materials, are *synthetic* fibers. Thus, claims 23 and 24 are also indefinite because it is unclear how a regenerated fiber can be non-synthetic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 6, 19, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,683,784 issued to Nakao et al.

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant claims a method comprising applying a solution containing an ink holding agent of high wettability to a synthetic fiber onto one side of a fabric including said synthetic fiber and then applying an ink holding agent of low wettability to said synthetic fiber onto the other side of said fabric. The ink holding agent of high wettability comprises a synthetic polymer, while the agent of low wettability comprises a semi-synthetic polymer or a natural polymer. According to the specification, page 12, sections [0191] and [0192], suitable agents of high wettability include those having hydroxyl, amide, and carbonyl functional groups, such as polyvinyl alcohol, acrylic resins, and polyurethane resins. The agents of low wettability preferably have amylose and cellulose molecular chains, such as starch, methyl cellulose, ethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, and carboxymethyl cellulose (specification, page 13, section [0195] and [0196]).

Nakao teaches an inkjet recording medium comprising a fabric made of natural or synthetic fibers, such as cotton, wool, polyester, acrylic, nylon, or rayon fibers (abstract and col. 1, lines 27-33). To make said ink jet recording medium, first a liquid containing silica powder and a binder is coated onto one side of a fabric and then a liquid containing boehmite and a binder is coated onto the other side of said fabric (col. 1, line 54-col. 2, line 67 and working examples). The binder for the first coating may be polyvinyl alcohol, ethylene-vinyl acetate, an acrylic resin, or a urethane resin (col. 2, lines 4-6), while the binder for the second coating may be starch, carboxymethyl cellulose, hydroxymethyl cellulose (col. 2, lines 40-47).

Thus, Nakao anticipates applicant's claim to coating a solution of a synthetic polymer onto one side of a synthetic fiber fabric and then a solution of a semi-synthetic or natural polymer onto the other side of said fabric. Nakao does not explicitly teach the claimed

Art Unit: 1771

wettabilities. However, since the properties of low and high wettability to a synthetic fiber are inherent to the polymer employed and since Nakao teaches the same polymers disclosed by applicant, it is asserted that Nakao inherently anticipates applicant's limitations to wettability properties. Therefore, claims 6, 19, 21, and 23 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7, 20, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited Nakao patent in view of JP 09-279486 issued to Maeda et al.

Nakao fails to teach an inkjet substrate that is a napped fabric. However, said napped fabrics are known in the art. For example, Maeda teaches coating a pile fabric to prepare it for inkjet printing (abstract and translation section [0002]). Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute a napped fabric for the fabrics of Nakao in order to produce an aesthetically pleasing fabric which can be inkjet printed onto. Therefore, claims 7, 20, 22, and 24 are rejected.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed with the RCE amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant traverses on the ground that the present invention is different from the cited prior art with respect to the order of the coating steps (RCE Amendment, page 4, 4th paragraph). In response, it is reiterated that the properties of low and high wettability to a synthetic fiber are inherent to the polymer employed and since Nakao teaches the same polymers disclosed by applicant, it is asserted that Nakao inherently anticipates applicant's limitations to wettability properties. Therefore, applicant's argument is unpersuasive.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cj

July 12, 2004

